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Abstract

OBJECTIVE We surveyed pediatricians to elicit their perceptions regarding frequency, contributing factors, and potential system- and provider-based solutions to address diagnostic errors.

METHODS Academic, community, and trainee pediatricians (N = 1362) at 3 tertiary care institutions and 109 affiliated clinics were invited to complete the survey anonymously through an Internet survey administration service between November 2008 and May 2009.

RESULTS The overall response rate was 53% (N = 726). More than one-half (54%) of respondents reported that they made a diagnostic error at least once or twice per month; this frequency was markedly higher (77%) among trainees. Almost one-half (45%) of respondents reported diagnostic errors that harmed patients at least once or twice per year. Failure to gather information through history, physical examination, or chart review was the most-commonly reported process breakdown, whereas inadequate care coordination and teamwork was the most-commonly reported system factor. Viral illnesses being diagnosed as bacterial illnesses was the most-commonly reported diagnostic error, followed by misdiagnosis of medication side effects, psychiatric disorders, and appendicitis. Physicians ranked access to electronic health records and close follow-up of patients as strategies most likely to be effective in preventing diagnostic errors.

CONCLUSION Pediatricians reported making diagnostic errors relatively frequently, and patient harm from these errors was not uncommon.
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Abstract

OBJECTIVE to assess the rate of acute bacterial meningitis (ABM) among children who present with their first complex febrile seizure (CFS).

DESIGN AND METHODS This study was a retrospective, cohort review of patients aged 6 to 60 months who were evaluated in a pediatric emergency department (ED) between 1995 and 2008 for their first CFS. Cases were identified by using a computerized text search followed by a manual chart review. Exclusion criteria included prior history of nonfebrile seizures, an immunocompromised state, an underlying illness associated with seizures or altered mental status, or trauma. Data extracted included age, gender, seizure features, the number of previous simple febrile seizures, temperature, a family history of seizures, findings on physical examination, laboratory and imaging study results, and ED diagnosis and disposition.

RESULTS We identified 526 patients. The median age was 17 months (interquartile range: 13–24), and 44% were female. Ninety patients (17%) had a previous history of simple febrile seizures. Of the patients, 340 (64%) had a lumbar puncture (LP). The patients’ median white blood cell count during a CFS was 1 cell per µL (interquartile range: 1–2), and 14 patients had CSF pleocytosis (2.7% [95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.5–4.5]). Three patients had ABM (0.9% [95% CI: 0.2–2.8]). Two had Streptococcus pneumoniae in a culture of their cerebrospinal fluid. Among these 2 patients, 1 was nonresponsive during presentation, and the other had a bulging fontanel and apnea. The third child appeared well; however, her blood culture grew S pneumoniae and failed the LP test. None of the patients for whom an LP was not attempted subsequently returned to the hospital with a diagnosis of ABM (0% [95% CI: 0, 0.9]).

CONCLUSION Few patients who experienced a CFS had ABM in the absence of other signs or symptoms.

Key Words: seizure • complex febrile seizure • meningitis • bacterial meningitis • lumbar puncture • consensus statement • fever evaluation

Abbreviations: CFS = complex febrile seizure • ABM = acute bacterial meningitis • ED = emergency department • CSF = cerebrospinal fluid • WBC = white blood cell • LP = lumbar puncture • CI = confidence interval

Contributed by:
Dept. of Paediatrics, RMC, Loni
INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

Aims and Scope
Pravara Medical Review (PMR) (ISSN : 0975-0533) is an official scientific publication of Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS) Loni. The basic ideology of publication of this journal is based on the objectives of PIMS. It is a quarterly journal published as a medium for the advancement of scientific knowledge in all branches of medicine and allied sciences like Dental Sciences, Physiotherapy, Biotechnology and Nursing. The contents of the journal are in the form of Commentary, Review articles, Original research, Case reports, Letters to editor, Short communication, Medical quiz and any other form of publication with the approval by the editorial board.

All manuscripts are required to be submitted in duplicate complete with sets of illustration and photographs typed in double space, double column, Times New Roman on A-4 sized paper with margin of 2.5 cms. on all sides. It should be accompanied by a Compact Disc Rewritable (CD-R) containing manuscripts typed in “Times New Roman” in double column and single space (letter size 12 for body of text and 14 for headings and sub-headings). Manuscript should conform to the general instructions (Vancouver style) “Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals” (1994), Lancet 1996, V2, 1-4 and Annals of Internal Medicine 1988, 108:258-265. The submitted manuscripts should be accompanied by a statement / certificate undersigned by all listed authors. The relevant forms containing required statements/ certificates are available with the editorial office. Copy of format of certificate by Authors can be obtained from Editorial office of Pravara Medical Review.

All submitted articles are reviewed by the Editorial board, specialists and peer review group members. The Editorial board reserves the right to revise the manuscripts according to reviewers comments and to make final decision on acceptance. The facilities for on line submission of manuscripts are also available at pmrjournal@pmtpims.org. The manuscripts with all materials are to be submitted to:
Editorial Office
Pravara Medical Review
Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences
(Deemed University)
Loni, Taluka- Rahata, Dist- Ahmednagar
State: Maharashtra, India
Pin: 413736

Ethics and Policy in Clinical Study
Human studies should have been conducted in accordance with the principals of the declaration of Helsinki (1964, revised in 1975 and 1983). The authors should indicate that Ethical approval of the study was granted. Animal experiments should have been performed as per the guide lines of CPCSEA (Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals). Also, see www.cpcsea.com while submitting papers on clinical trials. The authors are requested to ensure that all requirements of appropriate regulatory bodies have been complied with.

Units of Measurement
All Measurements must be expressed in metric system and / or the system International ‘Units’ (S1)

Submission Format
An abridged version is outlined below:
Title Page (Page 1)
Page 1 should include the following
(i). Type of paper : Original article, Short communication, Case report, Letter to the editor etc.
(ii). Full name of the authors along with their Degrees, Designations, Departments, and Institution and National affiliation.
(iii). Number of pages in the manuscript.
(iv). Number of Photographs
(v). Complete address of the corresponding author along with E-mail address.

Abstract (Page 2)
It should contain factual and comprehensive summary of the entire paper in not more than 200 words. It should be a running text (without headings) and should include aims, material and methods, results and conclusions of paper. At the end of the abstract 3-5 key words are required to be endorsed for indexing purpose.

Text (Page 3 onwards)
The main text should be arranged in the following sequence:
(i). Introduction : It should contain the review, aim of the study and its rationale.
(ii). Materials and methods : This should include sufficient details so that the reader can understand how the results were obtained.
(iii). **Results** : Should be presented in proper sequence. Statistical methods used for analysis should be stated in brief.

(iv). **Discussion** : Should include the relationship of the results with the hypotheses tested as outlined in aims and objectives and the findings of the study compared with those reported by other workers.

(v). **Conclusions** : Should be completely supported by the data in the text.

(vi). **Acknowledgment** : Contribution that fall short of authorship should be included here. Please do not hesitate acknowledging some one’s contributions in your research.

**Case Report**

The reports should be limited to 1500 words and should be described in the following sequence:

Abstract with key words, Introduction, Clinical summary, Pathological findings, Management and outcome of case, Discussion, Recommendations and References.

**Figures**

Figures should be cited in the text and numbered sequentially with Arabic numerals. A brief descriptive legend should be provided with each figure. Photographs of identifiable persons must accompany the consent of the individual. Illustrations from already published articles/books, the permission of author and the publisher must accompany each illustration. All figures should be submitted seperately in “jpeg” format with good resolution and figure legends in word file along with manuscript.

**Tables**

Should be numbered as they appear in the text and each table should have a short title. The data given in the table should be clear and logical. It should supplement and not duplicate information present in the text.

**Proof**

Proof will be sent to the corresponding authors which should be carefully checked and returned to Editorial office of PMR with in seven days of the receipt. Accepted manuscript by Editorial Board will not be returned.

**Reprints**

Authors desirous of reprints of the published articles may approach the Editorial Office of PMR. The reprints will be provided at nominal printing cost.

**References**

References should be endorsed as laid out in International Committee of Medical Journal Editors 1997; 126:36-47. References in the Bibliography should be in Vancouver style conforming to the pattern of NLM in Index Medicus. Responsibility of accuracy of references will rest entirely with the authors. References should be listed in the order as they appear in the text. They should be indicated by Arabic numerals enclosed in square brackets. For example [1],[2] and so on as superscript. For correct abbreviations of the journal please refer to last Index Medicus. Names of one word journals and unindexed journals should be written in full form. Number of references should be restricted to 4 for letters to editor, 6 for case reports, 12 for original articles and 20 for a review articles. Some of the sample references are as given below:

a) **Journals** :


b) **Books and Monograph** :


c) **Conferences Proceedings** :