# STUDY OF HEALTH STATUS AND ETIOLOGICAL FACTORS OF MENTALLY CHALLENGED CHILDREN IN SCHOOL FOR MENTALLY CHALLENGED

Nadeem Ahmad, D.B. Phalke

# **Abstract**

The mental health of the child affects his physical health and the learning process. The present study was conducted to study the health status and etiological factors among 58 mentally challenged children in school for mentally challenged at Sangamner. Majority of mentally challenged children (68.0%) were in 5-9 years age group. Most of them had moderate retardation. (43.0%) In majority of children (70.68%) no clinical syndrome was present. Most common clinical syndrome was Down's syndrome (17.23%), followed by Fragile X syndrome (6.89%). More than sixty percent children were off springs of consanguineous marriages. Idiopathic causes (63.8%) followed by genetic causes (29.31%) were common etiological factor responsible for mental retardation. Mental handicap can be prevented by genetic counseling.

Key words: Mentally challenged, consanguineous marriage

# Introduction

Mental retardation (MR) is defined as sub-average general intellectual functioning, which originated during developmental period and is associated with impairment in adaptive behaviour. Mental handicap is the present term used for mental retardation. It is a condition of sub-average intellectual function combined with deficits in adaptive behaviour. Persons with less than average mental ability or intelligence are called mentally challenged [1].

Nearly 83 million of the world's population is estimated to be mentally challenged, with 41 million having long-term or permanent disability. It ranks fourth in the list of leading causes of disability<sup>[2]</sup>. Terms which were previously used such as idiot, moron and imbecile are now discarded. At least 2 per cent of India's population is said to be suffering from some kind of mental disability. Mentally challenged may occur as part of a syndrome or broader disorder but is most commonly an isolated finding<sup>[3]</sup>. Mentally challenged children are one of the most frequently encountered, and most distressing, disabilities among children in most industrialized <sup>[4,5]</sup> and developing countries<sup>[6-8]</sup> world-wide.

The prevalence varies considerably because of the varying criteria and methods used in the surveys, as

well as differences in the age range of the samples. The overall prevalence of mentally challenged children is between 1-3%. It is more common in developing countries because of the higher incidence of injuries and anoxia around birth, and early childhood brain infections. Population studies have shown that overall prevalence of mild to severe mentally challenged ranges from 2.5 to 5 per thousand. Genetic contribution to this group accounts for 15-30%<sup>[9]</sup>.

### **Material and Methods:**

It was a cross sectional study. The study period was from March 2008 to Sep. 2008. A visit was made to school for mentally challenged at Sangamner and a total of 58 mentally challenged students were interviewed and examined. All the children i.e. 58 enrolled in the school were males. This was due to the policy of school to admit only male children in residential school. Materials used were a printed pre-tested and predesigned questionnaire, weighing machine, measuring tape, torch, snellens chart, tuning forks etc. Data collection was done from case history records in schools, health check-up of children and by interview method. All the relevant information was collected on a predesigned and pretested proforma. The data was tabulated and analyzed using appropriate statistical tests.

<sup>\*</sup> Dept. of PSM, RMC, Loni.

### **Observations:**

The age wise distribution of 58 mentally challenged children is shown in table 1. The age range was from 0 to 19 years. Most of the children (68.0%) were in 5-9 years age group followed by 10-14 years (27.6%).

Age (years)	Number (No.)	Percentage (%)
0-4	1	1.72
5-9	40	68.0
10-14	16	27.6
15-19	1	1.72
Total	58	100.0

Table 1: Age wise distribution of mentally challenged children

Grading (as per WHO)	Number (No.)	Percentage (%)
Normal (IQ >70)	0	0.0
Mild (IQ 50-70)	21	36.0
Moderate (IQ 35-	25	43.0
49)		
Severe (IQ 20-34)	12	21.0
Profound (IQ <20)	0	0.0
Total	58	100.0

Table 2: Distribution of mentally challenged children according to Intelligence Quotient (IQ)

The distribution of mentally challenged children according to Intelligence Quotient (IQ) status is shown in table 2. As per WHO criteria majority of the children suffered from moderate (43.0%) retardation, followed by Mild (36.0%) and severe (21.0%) retardation. None had profound retardation.

suffered from moderate (43.0%) retardation, followed by Mild (36.0%) and severe (21.0%) retardation. None had profound retardation.

Clinical syndromes	No.	%	
No syndrome present	41	70.68	
Down's syndrome	10	17.23	
Fragile X syndrome	4	6.89	
Microcephaly	1	1.72	
Autism	1	1.72	
Hydrocephalus	1	1.72	
Total	58	100.0	

Table 3: Distribution of mentally challenged children according to Clinical Syndromes

The common clinical syndromes associated with mentally challenged children are shown in Table 3. In majority (70.68%) no syndrome is present, followed by Down's syndrome (17.23%) and fragile X syndrome (6.89%).

In the present study 60.35% mentally challenged children were off springs of consanguineous marriages and 91.6% had severe mental retardation (Table 4). The etiological factors responsible for mental retardation are shown in table 5. In 63.8% children causes were unknown or idiopathic and majority (91.0%) had mild retardation. In 29.3% genetic factors were responsible and majority (42.0%) had severe retardation.

Type of marriage	Mild		Moderate		Severe		Total	
	IQ (50-70)		IQ (35-49)		IQ (20-34)			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Consanguineous	3	14.28	21	84.0	11	91.6	35	60.35
Non-	18	85.72	4	16.0	1	8.4	23	39.65
consanguineous								
Total	21	100.0	25	100.0	12	100.0	58	100.0

Table 4: Distribution of mentally challenged children according to marriage of their parents

Etiological factor	Mild IQ(50-70)		Moderate IQ (35-49)		Severe IQ (20-34)		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Idiopathic	19	91.0	14	56.0	4	34.0	37	63.8
Genetic	2	9.0	10	40.0	5	42.0	17	29.31
Drugs	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.0	1	1.72
Rh –	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.0	1	1.72
incompatibility								
Pre-term	0	0.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	1.72
Head injury	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.0	1	1.72
Total	21	100.0	25	100.0	12	100.0	58	100.0

Table 5: Distribution of mentally challenged children according to etiological factors

# Discussion:

In the present study majority of mentally challenged children (68.0%) were in 5-9 years age group. Out of 58 mentally challenged children, 40 (69.0%) of belonged to upper lower class IV and 18 (31.0%) to lower middle class III of Modified Prasad's socio-economic classification. In recent times there has been an increasing focus on gender differences in studying the prevalence, causation and course of mental and behavioural disorders. Eyman RK et al in his study revealed that the overall prevalence of mental and behavioural disorders was not different between men and women<sup>[10]</sup>.

The WHO criteria<sup>[11]</sup> based on Intelligence quotient (IQ) was used for grading mental status of mentally challenged children. Majority of the children suffered from moderate (43.0%) retardation, followed by Mild (36.0%) and severe (21.0%) retardation. None had profound retardation. Most children with mild MR were free of any neurological complications, CNS malformations, and dysmorphisms. They were more likely, to be born into families of low socioeconomic status, low IO, and little education.

In most of the mentally challenged children (70.68%) no clinical syndrome was present. In other childrens most common clinical syndrome was Down's syndrome (17.23%), followed by Fragile X syndrome (6.89%). The number of associated disorders appears to increase with the level of severity of mental retardation. Among mentally challenged children the prenatal causes include

congenital infections such as cytomegalovirus, toxoplasmosis, rubella and chromosomal anomalies like Down's syndrome<sup>[12]</sup>. Chromosomal aberrations and simple Mendelian traits account for about 20%, polygenic traits for 10%, 5% due to environmental factors and remaining 65% are either controversial or unknown<sup>[13]</sup>. In the study 60.35% of mentally challenged children were off springs of consanguineous marriages and 39.65% of non-consanguineous marriage. The products of consanguineous marriages had 91.6% students with severe mental retardation, 84.0% moderate and 14.28% mild mental retardation. Similar marriage pattern was observed in studies among many Pakistanis<sup>[14]</sup> and showed significant association of consanguinity and mild MR. Although consanguineous marriage is shown to be associated with increased risk of birth defects and infant mortality<sup>[15]</sup>. In study by Phalke et al<sup>[16]</sup> in Sangli District of Western Maharashtra consanguinity was present in 20.14% cases among institutionalized mentally challenged children. In 63.8% children the causes were unknown or idiopathic. Next most common etiological factor responsible for mental retardation was genetic cause (29.31%). Results in a study from Finland showed that prenatal conditions were most often associated with severe MR (64%), and perinatal conditions with mild MR (27%). McLaren and Bryson<sup>[17]</sup> studied that in 30 to 40% of cases, the cause remains unknown. Phalke et al[16] studied 134 mentally challenged children and observed that in 31.3% children causes were unknown or idiopathic.

# Conclusion

Mental retardation is among the most difficult categories of childhood disability to document epidemiologically, in part because its causes are multi-factorial. In less developed countries, the difficulties of documenting the causes of MR are compounded by lack of diagnostic services and routinely collected health data. Many cases of mild retardation look like normal children and are diagnosed only after scholastic backwardness or failure. Our findings suggest that primary prevention of serious cognitive disabilities will require prevention of the prenatal, natal and postnatal factors, consanguineous marriage.

# Acknowledgement:

We thank the students of III/IInd MBBS for helping us in data collection. We also thank the school authorities for their cooperation. Special thanks to Dr. Y.V.Sharma, Principal RMC, PIMS for valuable guidance and support.

### References

- Manjunatha KR, Chetan GK, Arathi R, Bhaskara Rao GV, Latha P, Padma S, et al. Frequency, association and genetic implications of chromosomal fragile sites in mental retardation. Int J Health Geogr. 2002; 2: 33-9.
- 2. WHO (1985), SEARO; MCH Paper no. 5, New Delhi.
- 3. Donna K, Holly H, Grace E. Identification and Evaluation of Mental Retardation. Am Fam Physician 2000;3:61-72.
- 4. Kiely M..The prevalence of mental retardation. Epidemiol Rev 1998; 9:194–218.
- Chen J, Simeonsson RJ. Prevention of childhood disability in the People's Republic of China. Child Care Health Dev 2000; 19:71–88.
- 6. Stein Z, Belmont L, Durkin M. Mild mental retardation and severe mental retardation compared: experiences in eight less developed

- countries. Ups J Med Sci. Suppl, 1987; 44:89–96.
- 7. Mitchell RA, Zhuo DH, Watts GH. Emerging patterns of disability distribution in developing countries. Int Disabil Stud 1990; 11:145–8.
- 8. Stein Z, Durkin M, Belmont L. 'Serious' mental retardation in developing countries: an epidemiologic approach. Ann NY Acad Sci 1996; 8:477
- 9. Stein ZA, Susser MW. The epidemiology of mental retardation. Proceedings of the 34th Symposium of Colston Research Society, held in the University of Bristol in March 1982. Bristol: Wright; 1984; 21–46.
- 10.Eyman RK, Grossman HJ, Chaney RH. The life expectancy of profoundly handicapped people with mental retardation. N Engl J Med 1990; 323: 584-9.
- 11.WHO (1985). Tech. Rep. Ser; Mentally Handicapped.60:125-129
- 12.Richmond JB, Butler JA, Stenmark S. 1983. Reducing childhood disability. Hosp Commun Psych 1985; 34:507–14.
- 13.Desai NG, Isaac M. Mental Health in South-East Asia: Reaching out to the Community: Regional Health Forum, WHO South-East Asia Region 2001; 5.
- 14. Darr A, Modell B. The frequency of consanguineous marriage among British Pakistanis. J Med Genet 1988;25:186-90.
- 15. Shami SA, Schmitt LH, Bittles AH. Consanguinity related prenatal and postnatal mortality of populations of seven Pakistani Punjab cities. J. Med Genet 1989; 26:267-71.
- 16.D.Phalke, "Study of health status of children attending school for mentally retarded in Sangli District, MD Dissertation, 1992, page 65-75.
- 17.McLaren J,Bryson SE. Review of recent epidemiological studies in mental retardation: Prevalence, associated disorders, and etiology. Am J Mental Retard 1987; 92: 243-54.

