

## Minimally-invasive Non surgical periodontal therapy: Review

Shivani Sachdeva , Harish Saluja , Amit Mani , Shubhagi Mani

### Abstract

*Periodontitis is a complex disease that has both oral and systemic consequences. The treatment of periodontitis may be both surgical and non-surgical but, in recent years, there has been a shift towards managing disease non-surgically in preference to surgery. Fundamental to all types of therapy is the patient's role in disease control, in the form of self-performed plaque control, and it is important that the patient understands this. Non-surgical periodontal therapy has a long history and has traditionally been carried out using a variety of hand and powered instruments, the objective being root surface disinfection by the removal of plaque, calculus and contaminated root cementum. However, over the last 30 years or so, it has become apparent that calculus does not cause disease, cementum does not become significantly infected and bacteria and their toxins are only loosely adherent to the diseased root surface. This has led to the development of less invasive instrumentation principles which may be better for patients, more cost-effective and more easily applied in different clinical settings. This review article aims to describe and justify a minimally-invasive approach to the management of the diseased root surface in periodontitis, to clarify the terminology used and to suggest how these principles may be applied in general practice.*

**Keywords:** *Periodontitis , Periodontal therapy*

### Introduction

Since our understanding of the aetiology of periodontitis has increased enormously, and periodontitis is now recognized as one of the most complex of human diseases. In addition, there is also mounting evidence that periodontitis may play a significant role in general health, so that the effective treatment of periodontitis may be even more important than was once thought. Treatment of periodontitis may be non-surgical or surgical but, since the first issue of *Dental Update*, there has been a shift away from surgical treatment towards non surgical management of disease. It is the aim of this paper to explore changing trends in non-surgical therapy and to make a case for the use of conservative, non tooth destructive treatment techniques. Many of the methods commonly used today to treat periodontal diseases have remained largely unchanged for decades. For example, in non surgical periodontal therapy the process of root planing is still widely practised but it was described, and named, in the dental literature a century ago.<sup>1</sup> Root planing involves the deliberate removal of tooth structure during periodontal instrumentation<sup>2</sup> to render the root surface 'hard' and 'smooth' and is an

invasive procedure since it involves the removal of tooth structure. Studies from the early 1980s, however, suggested that the intentional removal of cementum during root planing was not justified,<sup>3,4</sup> and so the concept of less invasive nonsurgical management of the diseased root surface was developed. The use of minimally-invasive techniques in restorative dentistry is now recognized as of increasing importance, and is often in the better interests of patients.

### The Case For Non-Surgical Disease Management

That non-surgical treatment is an effective method for treating chronic periodontitis (or indeed the less common aggressive form of disease) is not in doubt; a systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2005<sup>5</sup> reviewed the findings of three previous systematic reviews, published between 1993 and 2002, confirming that better treatment outcomes can be achieved by non-surgical means, when compared to surgical treatment, for moderate pockets (up to 6mm), while surgical treatment is only of greater benefit for deeper pockets in excess of 6mm. Although this appeared to show that deep pockets should be treated surgically, the authors pointed out that this latter finding is only applicable to 12-month post-treatment results and those studies that followed patients for 5 years or more found that, even for deep pockets, nonsurgical therapy was as effective as surgical treatment. However, fundamental to the successful management of disease by nonsurgical means (or indeed surgical means for that matter) is the establishment, before any treatment is carried out, of optimal self performed biofilm control.

---

Department of Periodontics,  
Pravara Rural Dental College and Hospital , Loni

### Correspondence Author:

Dr. Shivani Sachdeva ,  
Department of Periodontics ,  
Pravara Rural Dental College and Hospital, Loni

## The Patient's Role In Disease Control

Although the evidence base traditionally, the other principle therapeutic objectives have included the removal of all subgingival calculus and any contaminated root cementum for an association between personal oral hygiene and the control or prevention of chronic periodontitis is surprisingly weak (there are no randomized controlled trials to show such an association for instance),<sup>6</sup> it is assumed that an adequate level of daily plaque control is a pre-requisite for successful periodontal therapy of any form. For example, the Sixth European Workshop in Periodontology in 2008<sup>7</sup> stated that: *"It should be noted that the performance of optimal oral hygiene practices is an inseparable principle to be observed with any protocol of mechanical debridement"*. The effects of good biofilm control before starting treatment can be dramatic, (the goal being to establish an optimal supragingival environment prior to starting subgingival instrumentation. The reduction in marginal inflammation that results from a high standard of biofilm control has a number of benefits for both patients and clinicians: in the absence of inflammation, treatment can be more comfortable for patients and for operators it can be easier to carry out. Most importantly, however, during this oral hygiene phase, it is preferable not to carry out any form of instrumentation "the patients then learn that, through their own efforts, they can have a profound effect on the condition of their gums and thus 'self efficacy' is enhanced. The presence of supragingival calculus is not necessarily a barrier to the establishment of optimal plaque control, which suggests that calculus does not in itself cause inflammation.<sup>8</sup> Patients' efforts are not restricted to the supragingival region and, as well as marginal and interdental plaque control methods, patients should be shown how to clean subgingivally. In this way, patients can disrupt the accessible biofilm below the gingival margin, which leaves disruption of the less accessible biofilm one of the objectives of treatment.

## Therapeutic Objectives " Changing Approaches

While it is widely accepted that mechanical biofilm removal is the cornerstone of successful periodontal therapy,<sup>9,10</sup> much emphasis in the past has been placed on the removal of all subgingival calculus deposits, and also on the removal of contaminated cementum by root planing with sharp hand instruments, a technique that was described in the dental literature in 1913<sup>1</sup> and which was illustrated in Egyptian hieroglyphics 4000 years ago. The association of subgingival calculus with periodontal lesions has led to the assumption in the past that there is a cause and effect relationship between these two phenomena; a review of the evidence has shown that calculus is the *result* of disease and not its cause and that periodontal healing occurs in the presence of calculus as long as the overlying bacterial biofilm is removed. Calculus is thus an inert material and its formation could perhaps be regarded as a protective mechanism, since it represents the calcification of potentially pathogenic biofilm. Therefore, clinically, it can be observed that, in the presence of optimal biofilm control by the patient, but in the absence of subgingival calculus removal (for example during the oral hygiene

phase of treatment), healing of the periodontal lesion can take place and gingival shrinkage exposes previously subgingival calculus. The removal of calculus then becomes necessary for better access to the subgingival biofilm and for aesthetics. In the past, too much emphasis has been placed on complete calculus removal for disease control, both in training and practice, but such emphasis is misplaced and the focus should instead be on biofilm disruption by both patients and operators. In other words, plaque removal is more important than calculus removal.<sup>11</sup> In reality, it is as well that complete calculus removal is less important than was previously thought because many studies have shown that complete calculus removal is rarely, if ever, achieved.<sup>12,13,14,15</sup> What then of the role of contaminated cementum in periodontal disease? Stillman, in 1917,<sup>17</sup> described the need for planing of the root (or 'skinning' as it was sometimes called then) with instruments with *"keen cutting edges... leaving this surface as clean as a billiard ball, and equally as well polished"*, the goal being to remove the cementum layer which had been shown to be closely associated with bacteria and their toxins,<sup>18,21</sup> or even, it was suggested, 'deeply penetrated' by bacterial endotoxin.<sup>22</sup> This concept persisted for much of the 20th Century and the process of scaling and root planing (SRP), quadrant by quadrant under local anaesthetic, became the norm in non-surgical disease management, requiring considerable time and technical skill, the use of highly sharpened (and therefore damaging) hand instruments and significant post-operative discomfort for the patient. But, in the early 1980s, doubts started to be raised about the location of bacterial toxins on the root surface and the need for such invasive treatment, which could result in significant iatrogenic tooth surface. A number of studies at this time suggested that bacterial toxins were only loosely adherent to the root surface and could be removed by much lighter instrumentation which did not damage the root surface to the same extent.<sup>3,4,23,26</sup> One *in vitro* study<sup>24</sup> showed that over 99% of the bacterial contaminants could be removed by light instrumentation alone, without the need for cementum removal by root planing. This led to the concept of root surface debridement (RSD)<sup>27,28</sup> as an alternative to root planing, the goal being the achievement of a biocompatible root surface without the removal of tooth structure. Thus it was shown that RSD had the potential to achieve the same level of root surface decontamination as root planing but with the advantages of conservation of tooth structure, shorter treatment time and greater patient comfort.

Furthermore, ultrasonic use has been shown to be as effective as hand instrumentation in terms of clinical and microbiological treatment outcomes<sup>29,30,31</sup> and is much less technically demanding. The use of local anaesthesia is often unnecessary with such light instrumentation, thus reducing the potential for iatrogenic damage and this, combined with the shorter treatment time, also permits a full mouth treatment approach, as opposed to quadrant by quadrant treatment, which has been shown to yield better treatment outcomes.<sup>32,36</sup> The term 'full-mouth ultrasonic debridement' (FMUD) has been used to describe this type of non-surgical therapy<sup>35</sup> in which full-mouth treatment is car-

ried out using ultrasonic instrumentation exclusively and with a debridement technique rather than a planing approach. Over time, and with consistent patient adherence, stable results can be readily achieved, often with spontaneous healing of vertical bone defects (Figure 8). Several studies have directly compared the minimally invasive FMUD approach, comprising a single visit of less than one hour, with the traditional SRP approach of 3"4 hours of root planing, by quadrant with local anaesthesia, over four visits.<sup>37,38,39</sup>

### Future Developments

There is a growing evidence base that it is disruption of the biofilm, both by patient and clinician, rather than calculus or cementum removal, that is the key to controlling periodontal diseases.<sup>9,42</sup> The patient's role in this process is clearly crucial. Professional interventions that concentrate on biofilm disruption, with minimal effects on tooth structure, are being investigated in the form of laser instrumentation,<sup>43</sup> the use of photodynamic disinfection<sup>44</sup> and air polishing devices,<sup>45</sup> and hold out the prospect of achieving periodontal stabilization with minimal harm to the patient and more cost-effectively than traditional methods.

### References

- Hartzell TB. The operative and postoperative treatment of pyorrhea. *Dent Cosmos* 1913; **55**: 1094"1101.
- Claffey N, Polyzois I. In: *Clinical Periodontology and Implant Dentistry* 5<sup>th</sup> edn. Lang NP, Lindhe J, Karring T, eds. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard, 2008: p768.
- Nakib NM, Bissada NF, Simmelink JW, Goldstine SN. Endotoxin penetration into root cementum of periodontally healthy and diseased teeth. *J Periodontol* 1982; **53**: 368"378.
- Ito K, Hindman RE, O'Leary TJ, Kafrawy AH. Determination of the presence of root-bound endotoxin using the local Schwartzman phenomenon (LSP). *J Periodontol* 1985; **56**: 8"17.
- Heitz-Mayfield LJ. How effective is surgical therapy compared with nonsurgical debridement? *Periodontology* 2000 2005; **37**: 72"87.
- Hujoel PP, Cunha-Cruz J, Loesche W, Robertson PB. Personal oral hygiene and chronic periodontitis: a systematic review. *Periodontology* 2000 2005; **37**: 29"34.
- Sanz M, Teughels W. Innovations in nonsurgical periodontal therapy: Consensus Report of the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008; **35**(Suppl 8): 3"7.
- Jepsen S, Deschner J, Braun A, Schwarz F, Eberhard J. Calculus removal and the prevention of its formation. *Periodontology* 2000 2011; **55**: 167"188.
- Chapple ILC. Periodontal diagnosis and treatment " where does the future lie? *Periodontology* 2000 2009; **51**: 9"24.
- Guentsch A, Preshaw PM. The use of a linear oscillating device in periodontal treatment: a review. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008; **35**: 514"524.
- Kocher T, Konig J, Hansen P, Ruhling A. Subgingival polishing compared to scaling with steel curettes: a clinical pilot study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2001; **28**: 194"199.
- Eaton KA, Kieser JB, Davies RM. The removal of root surface deposits. *J Clin Periodontol* 1985; **12**: 141"152.
- Caffesse RG, Sweeney PL, Smith BA. Scaling and root planing with and without periodontal flap surgery. *J Clin Periodontol* 1986; **13**: 205"210.
- Buchanan SA, Robertson PB. Calculus removal by scaling/root planing with and without surgical access. *J Periodontol* 1987; **58**: 159"163.
- Kepic TJ, O'Leary TJ, Kafrawy AH. Total calculus removal: an attainable objective? *J Periodontol* 1990; **61**: 16"20.
- Robertson PB. The residual calculus paradox. *J Periodontol* 1990; **61**: 65"66.
- Stillman PR. The management of pyorrhea. *Dent Cosmos* 1917; **59**: 405"414.
- Aleo JJ, De Renzis FA, Farber PA, Varboncoeur AP. The presence and biologic activity of cementum-bound endotoxin. *J Periodontol* 1974; **45**: 672"675.
- Aleo JJ, De Renzis FA, Farber PA. *In vitro* attachment of human gingival fibroblasts to root surfaces. *J Periodontol* 1975; **46**: 639"645.
- Jones WA, O'Leary TJ. The effectiveness of *in vivo* root planing in removing bacterial endotoxin from the roots of periodontally involved teeth. *J Periodontol* 1978; **49**: 337.
- Nishimine D, O'Leary TJ. Hand instrumentation versus ultrasonics in the removal of endotoxins from root surfaces. *J Periodontol* 1979; **50**: 345"349.
- Fine DH, Morris ML, Tabak L, Cole JD. Preliminary characterization of material eluted from the roots of periodontally involved teeth. *J Periodontol Res* 1980; **15**: 10"19.
- Hughes FJ, Smales FC. Immunohistochemical investigation of the presence and distribution of cementum-associated lipopolysaccharides in periodontal disease. *J Periodontol Res* 1986; **21**: 660"667.
- Moore J, Wilson M, Kieser JB. The distribution of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin) in relation to periodontally involved root surfaces. *J Clin Periodontol* 1986; **13**: 748"751.
- Nyman S, Westfelt E, Sarhed G, Karring T. Role of "diseased" root cementum in healing following treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1986; **13**: 464"468.
- Hughes FJ, Smales FC. The distribution and quantitation of cementum-bound lipopolysaccharide on periodontally

- diseased root surfaces of human teeth. *Arch Oral Biol* 1990; **35**: 295"299.
27. Cheetham WA, Wilson M, Kieser JB. Root surface debridement " an *in vitro* assessment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1988; **15**: 288"292.
28. Smart GJ, Wilson M, Kieser JB. The assessment of ultrasonic root surface debridement by determination of residual endotoxin levels. *J Clin Periodontol* 1990; **17**: 174"178.
29. Cobb CM. Clinical significance of non-surgical periodontal therapy: an evidence-based perspective of scaling and root planing. *J Clin Periodontol* 2002; **29** (Suppl 2): 22"32.
30. Tunkel J, Heinecke A, Flemmig TF. A systematic review of efficacy of machine-driven and manual subgingival debridement in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 2002; **13** (Suppl 3): 72"81.
31. Ioannu I, Dimitriadis N, Papadimitriou K, Sakellari D, Vouros I, Konstantinidis A. Hand instrumentation versus ultrasonic debridement in the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical and microbiological trial. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009; **36**: 132"141.
32. Bollen CML, Mongardini C, Papaioannou W, van Steenberghe D, Quirynen M. The effect of a one-stage full-mouth disinfection on different intraoral niches. Clinical and microbiological observations. *J Clin Periodontol* 1998; **25**: 56"66.
33. Mongardini C, van Steenberghe D, Dekeyser C, Quirynen M. One stage full versus partial-mouth disinfection in the treatment of chronic adult or generalized early-onset periodontitis. 1. Long-term clinical observations. *J Periodontol* 1999; **70**: 632"645.
34. Quirynen M, Mongardini C, Pauwels M, Bollen CML, Van Eldere J, van Steenberghe D. One stage full- versus partial-mouth disinfection in the treatment of chronic adult or generalized early-onset periodontitis. 2. Long-term impact on microbial load. *J Periodontol* 1999; **70**: 646"656.
35. Koshy G, Kawashima Y, Kiji M, Nitta H, Umeda M, Nagasawa T, Ishikawa I. Effects of single-visit full-mouth ultrasonic debridement versus quadrant-wise ultrasonic debridement. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005; **32**: 734"743.
36. Eberhard J, Jervøe-Storm P-M, Needleman I, Worthington H, Jepsen S. Full-mouth treatment concepts for chronic periodontitis: a systematic review. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008; **35**: 591"604.
37. Wennström JL, Tomasi C, Bertelle A, Dellasega E. Full-mouth ultrasonic debridement versus quadrant scaling and root planing as an initial approach in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005; **32**: 851"859.
38. Zanatta GM, Bittencourt S, Nociti FH, Sallum EA, Sallum AW, Casati MZ. Periodontal debridement with povidone iodine in periodontal treatment: short-term clinical and biochemical observations. *J Periodontol* 2006; **77**: 498"505.
39. Del Peloso Ribeiro É, Bittencourt S, Sallum EA, Nociti FH Jr, Goncalves RB, Casati MZ. Periodontal debridement as a therapeutic approach for severe chronic periodontitis: a clinical, microbiological and immunological study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008; **35**: 789"798.
40. Eley BM, Soory M, Manson JD. In: *Periodontics* 6th edn. London: Churchill Livingstone: Elsevier, 2010: p210.
41. Palmer RM, Floyd P. In: *A Clinical Guide to Periodontology* 2nd edn. London: BDJ Books, 2006: p28.
42. van der Weijden F, Slot DE. Oral hygiene in the prevention of periodontal diseases: the evidence. *Periodontology* 2000 2011; **55**: 104"123.
43. Schwarz F, Aoki A, Sculean A, Becker J. The impact of laser application on periodontal and peri-implant wound healing. *Periodontology* 2000 2009; **51**: 79"108.
44. Soukos NS, Goodson M. Photodynamic therapy in the control of oral biofilms. *Periodontology* 2000 2011; **55**: 143"166.
45. Petersilka GJ. Subgingival air-polishing in the treatment of periodontal biofilm infections. *Periodontology* 2000 2011; **55**: 124"142.